



Attorney Docket # 5367-65RCE

Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of .

Tony ALBRECHT et al.

Serial No.:

10/750,389

Filed: December 31, 2003

For:

Light Emitting Diode Chip with Radiation-

Transmissive Electrical Current Expansion Layer

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

Examiner: LE, Thao X

Group Art: 2814

May 23, 2006 (Date of Deposit)

Thomas Langer

Signature

Máy 23, 2006 Date of Signature

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

LETTER

: Art: 2319

BALLEST LIN

SIR:

THIS IS NOT A RESPONSE TO THE OFFICIAL ACTION DATED APRIL 24, 2006. RATHER, IT IS A REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND RESTART OF THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE.

Item no. 3 which seems to extend from the bottom of page 2 until the bottom of page 4 of the Office Action is a rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 7, 22 and 23. However, the bottom of page 4 discusses claims 12 and 13 which are not part of this rejection.

Item no. 6 which extends from the middle of page 5 until the middle of page 7 of the Office Action is a rejection of claim 5. However, the middle of page 6 mentions claims 9 and 24, and the top of page 7 mentions claim 8. None of claims 8, 9 and 24 appear to be explicitly rejected in item no. 6.

In view of the situation as it is described above, applicants are unsure as to the basis for the rejection of claims 8, 9, 12, 13 and 24. Accordingly, a suitable response to such rejection cannot be formulated.

OF

The Examiner is respectfully requested to correct the Official Action, send a replacement Office Action, and restart the period for response.

Respectfully submitted, COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE

By:

Thomas Langer Reg. No. 27,264

551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210

New York, New York 10176

(212) 687-2770

Dated: May 23, 2006